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The Design Assistance Program

With nearly 300 state and local chapters and over 70,000 members, the American Institute of Architects serves as the voice of the architecture profession and the resource for its members in service to society. The AIA has a 44-year history of public service work. Through the Center for Communities by Design, the AIA has engaged over 500 professionals from more than 30 disciplines, ultimately providing millions of dollars in professional pro bono services to more than 180 communities across the country, and engaging thousands of participants in community-driven planning processes. Its projects have led to some of the most recognizable places in America, such as the Embarcadero in San Francisco and the Santa Fe Railyard Redevelopment. In 2010, the AIA received the ‘Organization of the Year’ Award from the International Association for Public Participation (IAP2), recognizing its program impact on communities and contributions to the field.

The Center’s Design Assistance Team programs operate with three guiding principles:

- **Multi-disciplinary Expertise.** Each project is designed as a customized approach to community assistance that incorporates local realities and the unique challenges and assets of each community. As a result, each design assistance team includes a multi-disciplinary focus and a systems approach to assessment and recommendations, incorporating and examining cross-cutting topics and relationships between issues. In order to accomplish this task, the Center forms multi-disciplinary teams that combine a range of disciplines and professions in an integrated assessment and design process.

- **Enhanced Objectivity.** The goal of the design assistance team program is to provide communities with a framework for action. Consequently, each project team is constructed with the goal of bringing an objective perspective to the community that is outside of the normal politics of public discussion. Team members are deliberately selected from geographic regions outside of the host community, and national AIA teams are typically representative of a wide range of community settings. Team members all agree to serve pro bono, and do not engage in business development activity in association with their service. They do not serve a particular client. The team’s role is to provide an independent analysis and unencumbered technical advice that serves the public interest.

- **Public Participation.** The AIA has a four-decade tradition of designing community-driven processes that incorporate substantial public input through a multi-faceted format that includes public workshops, small group sessions, stakeholder interviews, formal meetings and presentations. This approach allows the national team to build on the substantial local expertise already present and available within the community and leverage the best existing knowledge available in formulating its recommendations.

- **Regional and Urban Design Assistance Teams (R/UDAT):** Created in 1967, the AIA’s R/UDAT program pioneered the modern charrette process by combining multi-disciplinary teams in dynamic, multi-day grassroots processes to produce community visions, action plans and recommendations.

- **Sustainable Design Assessment Teams (SDAT):** In 2005, in response to growing interest and concern about local sustainability planning, the AIA launched a companion program to the R/UDAT that allowed it to make a major institutional investment in public service work to assist communities in developing policy frameworks and long term sustainability plans. During the first 6 years of the SDAT program, the Center for Communities by Design has worked with over 50 towns, cities and regions.
In November 2010, DeKalb County officials submitted an application to the American Institute of Architects for a Sustainable Design Assessment Team (SDAT) project. As the County’s application stated:

“The objective of this project is to strengthen the functionality and ‘sense of place’ of the Moreland Bouldercrest Cedar Grove Community. We anticipate that this project will accelerate the community’s current momentum toward revitalization. The project will significantly improve mobility in the community by promoting alternative modes of transportation and design elements for targeted mixed use areas.”

The application was accepted in December, and in April 2011, an initial visit to DeKalb County was conducted to determine the project scope and identify the expertise needed for the project. In June 2011, a seven-member SDAT team conducted a three-day charrette with the community to assess current conditions, listen to resident input, analyze constraints and opportunities, and form a series of key recommendations for the County moving forward. The charrette process included tours of the project area, targeted meetings with county staff and stakeholders, a public workshop, and studio design sessions. At the conclusion of the charrette, the team presented its recommendations at a community meeting.

The following report contains a narrative summary of the team’s findings, with particular emphasis in the following areas:

- Key Principles of sustainability for DeKalb County
- Master Planning and Urban Design Suggestions to enhance livability
- Transportation recommendations focused on enhancing multi-modalism and mitigating current transportation issues in the area
- A Market Analysis of the area
- Suggestions regarding Environmental Justice issues and neighborhood collaboration
- Recommendations regarding area landfills and their future redevelopment
Su Sta I n a bI l I t y f oR D e K a l b C o u n t y
The concept of sustainability has changed. Whether you believe in the approaches of the US Green Building Council, the Congress on New Urbanism, or espouse the principals of smart growth as presented by Smart Growth America; each entity understands and believes in the “social” importance of sustainability. Sustainable communities need more than just green buildings and infrastructure; they need sustainable neighborhoods. Sustainable communities should embody the concept of the triple bottom line – often referred to as the combination of “people/planet/profit.” However, green development often only considers two of the three elements, and largely designs just for financial and economic benefit. Sadly, the third element - “people” - is considered; but primarily only from the standpoint of how humans interact with the built environment. There is a much deeper consideration to address as we focus on the “people” leg of the triple bottom line stool. Sustainable design should be rooted in the betterment of humans (physically, mentally, and emotionally). Done holistically, green design can help build community and a sense of pride at the same time as it builds a healthier ecosystem. Sustainable or green design should be intended to produce the greatest possible social good. Good design should not only consider the physical surroundings, but also the social and political landscape as well.

The proposed Sustainability Plan for the County promotes a vision of Dekalb County as the “Greenest County in the Nation.” The team found this ideal to be a noble goal, but recommends that the County consider what that means in practical terms regarding the actions necessary to achieve such a vision. It is an ambitious idea, and one that will require substantial change. For instance, the team observed that some of the development approaches currently pursued in DeKalb County and the SDAT study area are in direct contradiction to a major premise of sustainable communities - the idea of walkability.

Currently, much of the housing and development within the study area exhibits characteristics of suburban sprawl, lacking connectivity. The area’s neighborhoods are most often separated from each other as neither street, bike paths, nor sidewalks tend to connect. Communities within clear view of one another cannot be accessed easily by car, bike, or foot. As a result, the area neighborhoods represent insulated communities with little or no interaction or socialization with individuals directly outside of their subdivision or cul-de-sac. As a result, a sense of community is often lost as larger areas are broken down into multiple neighborhoods with little or no sense of collective community or civic pride.

If the goal is for “DeKalb County to thrive and prosper, (you) must ensure that (you) have complete communities that meet the livability and mobility needs of all DeKalb County residents. Through sustainable land use and transportation policies, DeKalb County can create a balance of environmental preservation, commerce, mobility, and livability.” The preceding statement was taken directly from the County’s proposed Sustainability Plan. The plan goes on to state:

• Land use and transportation are extremely important to overall sustainability.
• To achieve real sustainability, we must build better cities and provide mobility choices that are easy and efficient.
• Create and maintain efficient infrastructure, ensure close-knit neighborhoods, a sense of community, and preserve natural systems.
To achieve the goals espoused by the County, the predominant development approach in the area will need to change. These changes do not necessarily mean a huge increase in density, but an increase in density may likely be one by-product of change. Existing development patterns will need to be studied to determine how to generate better connectivity between neighborhoods, and how to ensure more connectivity throughout the study area and County as a whole.

Smart Growth communities, or “complete communities”, are compact, appropriately mixed-use, and often transit-oriented where pedestrians feel at home. By contrast, areas with heavy car dependency have an increased need for parking spaces, which ultimately equates to less efficient land use. In DeKalb County, by way of example, approximately 70 percent of working residents drive, and of that 70 percent, 50.4 percent have a commute which is over 30 minutes each way per work day.

Lastly, the growing senior population in DeKalb County faces many mobility challenges in car-oriented developments, particularly when they are forced to give up driving. In fact, the concept of aging in place has gained a lot of interest and momentum across the country recently. As we age in place, the amount of time it takes to cross the street increases dramatically (it should be noted that many of the issues facing the senior population are also challenges faced by young children). These demographic shifts will require new thinking and adaptation in how neighborhoods are developed.

The social aspect of sustainability should come into play as you consider the redevelopment of existing neighborhoods and the creation of new ones. Some of the questions the County may wish to consider as it moves forward include the following:

- Will you have diverse communities? (diverse in race, religion, age, economic status, sexual orientation, etc.)
- How will you incorporate public institutions such as schools and parks into the community?
- Will you allow for commercial and office opportunities?
- How will you incorporate and interact with religious organizations?
- How will the collective “you” – the community, interact with the police and have a unified voice in local and state government?

As DeKalb County determines what sustainability means for its residents, it will need to consider an appropriate Design Approach and Principles that express this sentiment. For example, will the County:

- Have building designs that emphasize human-scale?
  - Will you incorporate civic spaces?
  - Will you incorporate public space?
  - Will you add recreational space?
- Design compact, walkable neighborhoods? (as people tend to drive 20-40 percent less in such development)
  - In close proximity to existing developments?
  - In close proximity to goods and services?
  - In close proximity to, or utilizing existing infrastructure?
- Have highly connected street networks?
  - With wide and ample sidewalks?
  - With friendly and efficient lighting to increase the feelings of safety and security at night?
- Develop mixed-use urban form buildings?
- Have development in close proximity to local jobs and services?
- Allow for a range of housing to serve diverse populations?
- Consider regional planning?
  - Engage in large scale redevelopment approaches and principles that will benefit not only the County, but the broader area as a whole?
- Ensure a sufficient development density that will maximize Smart Growth/sustainable principles?
Communities which are developed using the above mentioned approaches have been shown to realize the following benefits:

- **Healthier and Safer Communities** (which equal happier citizens)
  - Research has shown that living in mixed-use environments within walking distance of shops and services results in increased walking and biking, which can improve cardiovascular and respiratory health and reduce the risk of hypertension and obesity. Neighborhoods with decreased car use can also help combat toxic air pollution which can cause asthma and other respiratory illnesses.
  - Inviting streets, neighborhood shops and restaurants, and public spaces foster a sense of community pride, while inviting casual interaction among neighbors.
  - An improved sense of community, greater levels of civic engagement, and more “eyes on the street,” can lead to a decrease in crime.
  - Fewer mobility concerns can improve safety for seniors and younger children, leading to more vibrant public places and family-friendly communities.

- **A Cleaner Environment**
  - Responsible neighborhood developments can have numerous benefits for the environment. Building compactly and focusing development in existing areas can help conserve water and other natural resources, protect habitat from fragmentation, keep air clean, and support local agriculture.
  - Communities that take advantage of their existing natural amenities and opportunities for redevelopment can realize a healthier and cleaner environment for everyone.

- **A More Robust Economy**
  - Providing a mix of uses near housing can increase foot traffic to local businesses.
  - Pedestrian shoppers linger longer on inviting, slow speed streets, and storefronts opening on to the sidewalk are more inviting to passersby.
  - Rising demand for housing and commercial space in highly walkable or transit-accessible areas can also result in higher tenancy rates and higher retention of property values, even in tough economic times.
  [note: See “How Walkability Raises Home Values in U.S. Cities” by Joe Cortright, CEOs for Cities and Planetizen article “Capturing the Value of Transit” for more information]
• **Increased Community Pride**
  
  As DeKalb County continues to define “what sustainability means to the County,” and it moves forward toward that goal, it will:
  
  • Develop a renewed sense of pride and community.
  
  • Feelings of fear and dismay will begin to dissipate.
  
  • Relationships between constituents and public officials and services will continue to strengthen.
  
  • Public and private partnerships will continue to grow and strengthen.
  
  • The community’s ability to “leverage” its power will increase.

DeKalb County has the potential to become the “Greenest County in the Nation.” The challenge and opportunity is determining what that means to you as a community: as constituents, employees, or politicians. While there are several challenges which must be addressed to achieve that goal, the beginnings of a successful process are already in place. If you choose to develop sustainably, you will be doing yourselves, your community, and your environment a huge service.
Principles
TAPPING OUR NATURAL CAPITAL
A STRATEGY FOR ACHIEVING MORE SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Given the many obstacles to achieving sustainable community development, it is essential to establish guiding principles that integrate the social, economic, and ecological aspects of the Bouldercrest/Cedar Grove community into the larger DeKalb County region in a balanced and holistic way. This will steer the planning and construction of more humanly scaled neighborhoods characterized by mixed land uses that encourage alternative forms of transportation such as mass transit, walking, and bicycling. Other elements must include an emphasis on a wide variety of housing types to meet the needs of a diverse and aging population, the preservation of agricultural land and open spaces, and the construction of public spaces as an essential feature of community life.

Above all, understanding the qualities of nature in all its forms, expressing it in the redesign of the community, integrating it within and throughout the community and respecting its balance will be critical to making this a human place - sustainable and nourishing for now and future generations.

Comprehensive thinking can be advanced in the existing community, where established land use patterns and infrastructure would normally limit the perceptions of opportunity and aspiration. Adopting a comprehensive set of goals will contribute to sustainability, though it will likely require an incremental approach to implement that is linked to and reflective of the larger vision.

And while incrementalism is likely to be a hallmark of all efforts to implement a more sustainable community for the Southwest DeKalb County area, there are a few sweeping measures that exist for achieving sustainability in a short time span - namely open space preservation, infrastructure investment and central place making.

Practically speaking and simply stated, targeted actions will contribute to sustainable community development for the area. This requires adopting an entrepreneurial mindset that highlights several important elements of sustainable community development.

- First, take steps to **revive** aging industries.
- Second, in the process of searching for ways to reduce pollution, **restore** the natural and built environment, setting standards for all to follow.
- Third, create new economic engines that **reconnect** to the regional economy.
- Fourth, **reposition** development decisions to serve the needs and peculiarities of this unique place.
- Finally, and most importantly, make environmental, economic and equity goals **reflect** the values of local people acting together and finding common ground between individual interests and the interest of the community.

Sustainable community development for Southwest DeKalb County will require new practices of cooperation. These practices depend on a strong community and the social capital which emerges as relationships are forged across otherwise competing interest lines. Without communicative bonds and linkages among special interests, the kind of long term collective action necessary to achieve a more sustainable community is unlikely to occur; narrow economic interests will continue dominating the local politics, and measures taken under the rubric of sustainable community development will arguably be little more than symbolic concessions aimed at placating frustrated and disgruntled residents.

To avoid pitfalls, any guiding principles must be coupled with efforts to build a sustainable community infrastructure. Definitions of sustainable community development leave the concept of community infrastructure largely untapped. Instead, definitions tend to emphasize the overall characteristics of the physical community. Focusing on the communication linkages is a prerequisite to the kinds of collective actions and political relationships necessary to develop a more environmentally sustainable community in a place largely neglected or abandoned by most active leaders.

This leads us to recommend a set of guiding principles that reflect a much broader mindset than that described by most proponents of sustainable community development. It emphasizes intervention strategies and measures that represent the three aspects of sustainable community development (Ecology, Economy and Equity), with an explicit policy emphasis on actions that build the community out through broad-based social community and commitment.
**EcoLogy**

**Protect Natural Resources**
Protect and manage wildlife, forests and ecological systems by connecting natural areas, making for better environments, and increasing the variety of plants to grow and wildlife to access water and food. Natural resources provide many environmental benefits to improving air quality by preserving and enriching the flora that produces oxygen and reducing noise and thermal pollution.

**Improve Air and Water Quality**
Protect water resources in the community to buffer for non-point sources of pollution. Providing a vegetated buffer between surface water resources and development can help curb this problem, while at the same time provide recreational and other amenities in the community. Greenways, gardens, parks and pathways also provide recharge areas for groundwater aquifers, critical to our drinking water supplies, especially in times of drought.

**Conserve Scenic Resources**
Build upon the existing scenic natural quality to increase the beauty that defines the pride of place and improves the quality of life for all residents. Make open space a distinguishing feature that draws people to the area. The scenic natural quality of the community is being lost to new development. For many residents, this beauty attracted them to the area, defines their pride of place and contributes to their quality of life. For visitors, scenic quality is a distinguishing feature that determines their attraction. A comprehensive greenway, garden, parks and pathway program can help residents protect, promote and enjoy the neighborhoods they live in, while enriching the outstanding scenic resources.

**Equity**

**Protect Rural Legacy**
Maintain and improve important places that characterize the community’s rural past through vibrant centers, paths and edges. Ensure appropriate preservation, allowing for economic uses, recreational facilities, shaping development patterns and generating opportunities for social health and well-being. Open space is a key solution to maintaining the bucolic character - capturing the best elements of the community’s rural nature. This promotes environmental preservation and education, provides recreational facilities, shapes development and generates opportunities for economic vitality.

**Conserve Historic and Cultural Resources**
Provide the opportunity for all to experience history in nature. Preserve and exhibit the past by giving the public access to historic features while at the same time, providing unique interpretive opportunities that protect and preserve the resources. Combining historic features can provide for the opportunity to blend the experience of history with the outdoors. They are not only a tool to preserve and exhibit our past, they give the public access to historic features while at the same time providing unique educational opportunities for future generations.

**Fostering Public Health, Safety and Fitness**
Provide active and passive recreation opportunities for individuals of all ages and abilities. Make active living a core component of health and wellness. Provide quality open space that is well maintained and highly visible, within walking distance of all residents. Make accessible, attractive, and low or no cost options to bike, walk, run or hike to the most popular and important places – live, work, shop and play. Improve mobility and an active lifestyle - especially in an era of increasing obesity rates and an aging population. Make them well maintained and highly visible so that residents and visitors are comfortable. They will provide convenient, safe and spontaneous opportunities to engage with the outdoors. Connect them more fully to their community and increase their presence in the public domain. They must also provide transportation options and alternatives to automobile dependence, as well as a chance to be more physically fit.

**Creating Educational Opportunities**
Bring people into direct contact with nature, offering opportunities to learn from and treasure cultural and historic places. Build a nurturing sense of common ownership and support by linking up with schools and other civic and community institutions. These institutions can educate the public through way-finding, signage activities and brochures that inform people about what makes the community special. They can help train citizens in how to care for and protect the area’s most valued assets, and lend insights into the inherent health benefits that come from natural capital. A diverse network of interconnected greenways, gardens, parks and pathways can bring people into direct contact with the natural environment, offer the opportunity to glean healthy food and foliage, and treasure cultural and historic places. Many greenways, gardens, parks and pathways with easy access and proximity to where we live and work build a nurturing sense of common ownership and support.
Economy

Promoting Sound Land Use and Development
Acknowledge that the quality of life and character of the community is under pressure from suburban sprawl and unplanned development. Guide public and private sector decisions so that as the community grows, the vision defines its future. Treat transportation and aging or deteriorating land actions as a path to “green” the existing community. Many residents acknowledge that a significant opportunity exists to guide land use to help ensure that redevelopment can also help to “green” brownfield industrial and deteriorating urban properties to the north and west.

Enhancing Mobility
Make new connections to places that would otherwise not be reached easily. Create alternative means to travel between cherished places – residential, work and shopping centers. Reduce truck traffic and congestion risk. Harmonize the various modes of transportation and interweave the interaction of pedestrians and automobiles in a more ‘complete street’ setting. Links and connections in places that would otherwise be reached only through automobile use can create a sophisticated transportation system that reduces traffic congestion and encourages people to use alternative means of transportation like walking, bicycling and running.

Building Partnerships
Value the sense of place in the community to attract many stakeholders and benefactors. Form broad-based partnerships of civic groups, planners, community leaders, educators, business owners and others. Establish formal agreements that capture financial resources and build “community capital” and consensus for more local investment. Think of sense of place in the community and region as a business decision. We know great places attract the best resources and investments. The planning and development of great places naturally leads to the formation of broad-based partnerships, bringing together diverse interests and groups. This partnership approach not only provides resources but also builds momentum for more investment.
Increasing Prosperity
Commit public policy, planning and implementation dollars to attract high value sustainable development generated by the rapidly growing industries. Shift the economic climate to reposition depressed or declining market sectors so that quality industrial jobs are protected and increased, new technology sectors are embraced and advanced, and high quality living is afforded. Communities, residents and businesses all benefit from the signature value sustainable development contributes through increased property values for development and retail dollars generated by the rapidly growing recreation lifestyle industry.

Recreation lifestyle related spending is stimulated around healthy natural settings that capitalize on environmental resources with health, fitness and other recreational benefits in mind. In recent years, recreation lifestyle has become a growing industry and in a shifting economic climate offers alternatives to other depressed or declining development market sectors. As popularity for the recreation lifestyle sector expands, it can bring greater opportunity and prosperity to businesses and residents throughout the community and region.

Quality Benefits:

Value Added: Sustainable community development can create improved real estate values and therefore higher tax revenue throughout a community.

Costs Avoided: Sustainable community development can reduce costs associated with land development and keep people and businesses out of high risk circumstances.

Opportunities Created: Sustainable community development has been proven to spur economic development in numerous American communities.
**Value Added:**

- Studies by the National Homebuilders Association show that residential properties in sustainable communities realize a 10 to 20% gain in value the more they are a part of it.
- Residential lots in sustainable communities are often the fastest to sell and sell for a premium over unsustainable settings.
- Recreation needs assessments across the country show that well sited and tended greenways, gardens, parks and pathways are consistently the most used recreation facility by residents.
- Chattanooga, Tennessee used their community wide sustainable community development efforts to attract more than $1 billion in private sector investment.

**Costs Avoided:**

- Sustainable communities have been shown to save money by reducing exposure to high risk natural disasters.
- Sustainable communities promote low cost personal health management by improving access to outdoor resources close to where people live and work.
- Sustainable communities reduce the cost of land development through more organized, integrated and efficient green infrastructure planning and development.
- As DeKalb County’s population grows and changes, residents and political leaders need to think about the management of these resources in economic terms to avoid future community costs.

**New Revenues:**

- Sustainable communities attract business and industry more rapidly, with longer lasting commitments and at higher values, making these truly “green” communities more competitive in the marketplace.
- Sustainable communities serve a wider range of the population than most communities which depend on outside, remote providers to meet the needs of their population at a higher cost and lower quality.
- Sustainable communities create direct and indirect revenue sources from local economic development and business creation.
Master Planning Ideas
THE CHALLENGE

Southwest Dekalb County is a sub-region of metropolitan Atlanta that clearly pays the environmental price for the growth and prosperity of its neighboring communities. The AIA Sustainable Design Assessment Team heard very clearly from stakeholder interviews that Southwest DeKalb County lacked a “sense of place.” When pressed, residents could identify places in surrounding communities that were significant and positive in some ways, but could not articulate a vision for a beneficial public realm within their own neighborhood.

Based on the AIA Sustainable Design Assessment Team’s evaluation of existing land use patterns and natural features in the Southwest DeKalb County study area, and by incorporating the recommendations of team members’ expertise, a conceptual plan was developed for the area. The three overarching land use principles for this study area were:

1. Use the highways and major arterial roads to divide the study area into three distinct districts:
   - One west of Route 675 and south of Route 285 that would be exclusively industrial in character;
   - A second district east of Route 675 and south of Route 285 that would be primarily low-and-medium density residential with an emphasis on open space, recreational trails, public services and small-scale commercial;
   - And a third district north of Route 285 at the current “Pilot” site that would become a regional commercial and mixed-use destination.

2. Optimize each of the functions within each district so the planned uses would be sustainable and mutually-beneficial while mitigating the detrimental effects of one upon another.

3. Give each of these districts a figural, recognizable public “center” of place. Each of these centers should have its own character and identity that would support the district’s primary use and connect the citizens of DeKalb County in a productive way with the commercial, social, and environmental characteristics of each neighborhood.
Southwest DeKalb County has three dominant and important but incompatible land uses trying to co-exist in an unplanned sub-region. One of the principal master-planning challenges to this community is separating the industrial and residential uses. Given that the industrial use is primarily heavy trucking, truck storage and logistics, a more definitive challenge is to mitigate the impact of truck traffic on the residential areas of Southwest DeKalb. The SDAT’s environmental justice, community development and human health indicators all pointed to this necessity. In our interview with public safety personnel, the claim was made that if this connection could be broken, crime would virtually disappear from the residential neighborhoods.

Route 675 heading south from Route 285 already serves as a boundary between these two uses, but is not an effective buffer. This is because Cedar Grove Road (the longest east-west through street in the study area) by virtue of its bridge over 675, has become part of a heavily-used loop for truck traffic. Separating these uses will involve functionally breaking this connection. Although the team discussed a number of strategies including removing the bridge over 675 and bifurcating the road, the traffic-calming and signage solutions described in the transportation section of this report are recommended.

Further land-use solutions to this challenge are also suggested in the master planning ideas. Several of the parcels just east of 675 are currently undeveloped due to their topography. The only truck-related land use east of 675 is a single parcel that – if it could be combined with these other undeveloped parcels – could be made into a linear north/south green space that would further mitigate the impacts of the industrial use on the study area’s residential neighborhood.
A: The Intermodal Logistics District

The key to transforming the industrial district of this study area into a 21st century intermodal logistics district is in the transportation planning. The “Logistics Loop” road illustrated in the master plan diagram would allow truck traffic to be productively contained entirely within the district. Depicted optimally, this loop would have overpasses or underpasses where it crosses at Moreland and Cedar Grove and be adjacent to each major warehousing parcel as well as the existing (and ideally expanded) rail spurs.

Although ambitious in its conception, the county is advised that a road network of this type is critical for the sustainability of this industrial use.

The other key to this district is normalizing the intersection of Cedar Grove and Moreland into a simple 90-degree four-way intersection – and in the process eliminating the parcels and existing uses that blight this area. A district around this intersection should be created for the businesses that would support the logistics industry such as corporate headquarters, hotels, personal services, retail and restaurants, and a police sub-station.

Besides this district becoming a strong regional employment base in a market that we felt to be entirely appropriate and economically viable, the hope is that this commercial node would be far more attractive to trucking companies than the existing Pilot facility and either “starve” that business or prompt it to move from its current location to a higher-quality development in this planned district.
B: The Residential Neighborhood

Once the intrusive truck traffic has been eliminated, the strategy for improving the quality of life for residents has two primary components: turning subdivisions into neighborhoods and creating a neighborhood center.

The SDAT Team’s close examination of the existing watercourse network and patterns of undeveloped lands in the study area suggest that an extensive system of hiking paths and trails could be developed. Many of these current natural “fingers” already touch the property lines of residential lots, and the diagram the team created proposes how they may be extended and connected with minimal impact to existing properties. The vision from the team was for a network of pedestrian and bicycle connections that would – independent of existing major roads – give all residents access to recreational space and public services, thereby deepening their sense of community and dissolving the boundaries created by subdivision.

The Team endorsed the county’s finding that the intersection of Cedar Grove and Bouldercrest was an optimal location for a neighborhood center. If the triangle of roads that form this intersection could be reconfigured as a very generous traffic circle, two goals could be met: A ¼ to ½ acre open space with the potential to serve as a figural, civic open space could be created to organize small-scale commercial development, thus creating a neighborhood destination, and truck traffic would be further discouraged from using these streets as through-routes.

Our final recommendation to improve the lives of residents in the study area was not to widen Bouldercrest into a four-lane road (to accommodate truck traffic) as described in the county’s current transportation plan. The “green parkway” as described in the Transportation Facilities section of this report would be a much more appropriate road profile for Bouldercrest as a neighborhood “main street.”
C: The Regional Mixed-Use District

Our market analysis determined that the resident population in this region is significantly underserved by retail within the study area. In addition, in our stakeholder meetings the residents themselves were consistent in their claim that the need to drive great distances for everyday shopping was part of what made them feel disempowered as a community.

The parcels in the study area north of Route 285 are now occupied by “Pilot” and two poorly-maintained, crime-ridden apartment complexes, which are surrounded by undesirable commercial uses. These sites, with excellent highway access and non-residential abutters, are ideally suited for commercial mixed-use development of significant size. This district would serve as a landing place for national “big box” retailers interested in meeting this market need, and could locate some of the larger, more traffic-intensive uses that the community desires such as a large grocery store and a multi-screen theater.
A: Principles of Place-Making

In order to be sure that the new places developed for this study area meet the needs of the current and future generations of DeKalb County citizens, the following general design principles should be observed:

1. Buildings should be conceived of as backdrops for the public realm. Streetscapes, front doors, street furnishings, sidewalks, public art, public open spaces, native plants and trees are the components of the public realm. The design of the public realm should not be left to private commercial interests.

2. The County should make spaces that are specific to DeKalb County. Investigative design research should be done to relate design decision-making to the culture, heritage, and aspirations of the community. Ask: What is it about this place that is unique, and how do we create an environment that tells this story?

3. Public realm design improvements should optimize the access to public places by ALL members of the community via ALL means of mobility including biking, walking, and use of public transit. Dependence on automobiles is not only exclusionary, it degrades the public realm AND human health.

4. And . . . if it’s not beautiful, it’s not sustainable!
B. The Intermodal Logistics Center at Moreland and Cedar Grove

The plan and perspective sketch the SDAT produced for this center shows a node of near-urban scale development. The locus of this center – rather than a public open space – should be a “100% corner” where employees of logistics companies can work, shop, and find clean and safe accommodations.

Although a locus of commerce, this place should undeniably have a civic character. Moreland is shown in the sketch as a boulevard with a landscaped median, wide sidewalks, and a full complement of streetscape furnishings such as decorative streetlights, benches and trash receptacles. Public art and/or a program of banners should be present, along with directional signage. Storefronts and commercial signage should be designed to conform to design guidelines that the community endorses.

The County will want to consider rezoning this district to encourage mixed-uses and allow for greater building height and density than is currently allowed. Parking should be carefully located so as to be shielded from the Moreland/Cedar Grove intersection. Alternatively, the County may wish to consider adopting a Form-Based Code developed with the input of the business and residential communities instead of conventional zoning for this district. As defined by the Form-Based Codes Institute:

“Form-based codes use physical form, rather than separation of land uses, as their organizing principle. They foster predictable results in the built environment and a high quality public realm.”

This district would also benefit from the creation of a Business Improvement District joining in membership all of the corporations involved in the Intermodal Logistics District but specifically charged with the beautification and maintenance of this commercial node (the red hatched area on the SDAT Master Plan). The management entity of a BID for this district would have the added benefit of being a roundtable and sole point-of-contact for the County with what could be its single greatest consortium of employers. New York City has the largest concentration of BIDs in the country. A website hosted by the municipal government of Brooklyn defines a BID as:

“A business improvement district (BID) is an area where property and business owners elect to pay a special assessment to fund supplemental services, capital improvements and other initiatives to maintain, develop and promote a commercial area. BIDs are created by an act of the City Council after a public process shows a significant level of stakeholder support.”
C. The Neighborhood Center at Cedar Grove and Bouldercrest

The Neighborhood Center (Cedar Grove and Bouldercrest) is the part of the study area that must feel the most “at home” for the residents. It must be the psychic and figural center for the community, a common-ground for people that live here. It must have a small-town “Main Street” character, be populated by independent, locally-owned businesses and merchants, have public services in close proximity (such as a neighborhood health center and a teens and seniors recreational center), and be anchored by a neighborhood park that functions as a civic open space.

The SDAT sketch of this place shows a variety of building styles and materials but a consistency of 2 or 3-story heights, a predominantly commercial first-floor, and a high-quality public realm streetscape that is very pedestrian-friendly. Crosswalks are liberally present, buildings meet the front property lines, and curb-cuts are minimized.

To meet these criteria, the County should adopt strict design guidelines for commercial storefronts and signage as part of a zoning overlay district that includes all properties fronting on Bouldercrest from 285 to the county line and Cedar Grove from 675 to Bouldercrest. The National Trust for Historic Preservation’s Main Streets program has created a model for design guidelines that support both the historic character and the economic growth of small-scaled commercial districts. The City of Boston has institutionalized a system of neighborhood Main Streets’ districts, each of which have now independently developed signage and storefront guidelines that are statutorily referenced in the City’s Zoning Ordinance. Web links to the National Trust and Boston Main Streets follow this section.
These design guidelines should be developed in close consultation with both the resident and local business community. They typically include:

1. Signage: Placement on a building façade (designated sign band and a limited zone on storefront glass or awning valence), permitted materials and scale, styles of illumination encouraged (exterior illumination such as goose-necked lamps) and prohibited (internally-illuminated plastic), and recommendations on styles (perpendicular signs or “blade signs”), colors, simplicity and clarity of message and pedestrian-orientation.

2. Awnings: Materials, colors, and limitations to the amount and placement of signage. Awnings are not typically required by businesses in these type of guidelines, but when present they should be considered shade and shelter devices for pedestrians first, and components of a merchant’s “branding” or identity second. Awnings are a colorful and animated component of a storefront’s design, but they are not signage.

3. Storefronts: Storefront material can be encouraged and quality of construction can be described. Percent of façade dedicated to glass can be established, maximum heights of kneewalls can be set. Visibility into a store should be greatly encouraged; window display guidelines can include limits to the amount of signage applied to glass. Examples of good display design can be included.
D. The Regional Mixed-Use Center

Although the sites in this section of the SDAT study area could physically support a regionally-scaled, mixed-use commercial development, important recommendations as to the design and phasing of this center were made by the Team.

A development of several hundred thousand square feet of big-box and smaller junior anchors and inline retail with a theater, grocery store, and (later) residential and office uses will – by intent – draw a great deal of vehicular traffic. Therefore, to mitigate this impact, the site planning for this district must allow for small, decentralized parking lots with easily walkable access routes between retail destinations as well as the presence of a central public landscaped zone that can be made legible as the public’s domain within this otherwise highly privatized environment.

The SDAT plan diagram for this district shows this open space – considerably wider than a boulevard median – with sidewalks and zero-lot line storefronts to its north and south, terminating in a public space (as illustrated in the aerial sketch) at a destination like a multiplex theater. The design of these spaces and sidewalks should be unique to this center but similar in quality to the design standards for the other two centers in this district.

A final note on the phasing of this development: For an unforeseeable period of time, plans for this district must be able to accommodate the presence of Pilot. Until the intermodal logistics district can become viable, Pilot will likely remain. Prohibiting truck traffic from Cedar Grove and Bouldercrest will address many of the residents’ quality of life concerns, but interim steps to manage Pilot’s presence can be taken.

The apartment complex to the south of the Pilot site should be acquired and functionally combined with the Pilot site. All Pilot access points and curb cuts onto Bouldercrest should be closed, relocating all their access to Continental Way – the local east/west side street that can eventually become part of the peripheral site circulation path for the regional commercial center. This will allow a sufficient amount of land area north of Pilot and west of Bouldercrest to be redeveloped under a mixed use master plan and begin to establish this location as a desirable regional center. At some time in the future when the intermodal logistics district supplants Pilot as the primary location for trucking and warehousing functions, these sites can be added to the mixed-use development.

Web Resources:
A list of websites referenced in this section follows:

Form- Based Codes Institute:
http://www.formbasedcodes.org

Business Improvement Districts:

About Boston Main Streets:
http://www.cityofboston.gov/dnd/obd/about.asp

National Trust for Historic Preservation:
http://www.preservationnation.org

City of Pasadena Storefront and Signage Guidelines:
http://ww2.cityofpasadena.net/planning/deptorg/curplng/signsdesignguidelines.pdf
Transportation
OVERVIEW

The study area is located in the southwestern portion of DeKalb County, Georgia, approximately ten miles southeast of Downtown Atlanta, along several major interstate corridors and five miles east of Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport. The study area is well connected regionally and provides easy access for intraregional and national connections for people and freight.

The DeKalb County SDAT Team interviewed County staff from a variety of disciplines, attended a public meeting to gather information from the community, conducted stakeholder interviews with community members and business representatives, and participated in a site-visit. The SDAT Team found that while the study area has good larger scale connections, the connectivity and accessibility within and just beyond the study area is not optimal for any users of the transportation network. Residents must use single occupant vehicles for all travel purposes, which leaves them with no options for alternative modes of transportation. In addition, the transportation network does not meet the needs of commercial uses with inadequate transportation facilities for larger-scale vehicles and few options for multimodal access.

Based on existing conditions, as well as strain placed on the existing transportation infrastructure, the SDAT Team reviewed the transportation needs of each major corridor within the study area and developed multimodal recommendations appropriate to the scale and proposed use of each corridor.

CORE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PRINCIPLES

DeKalb County is focused on growing and developing in a sustainable manner. In the transportation sector, sustainability can be described through two concepts – multimodalism and complete streets.

**Multimodalism** describes a transportation network that includes room for all modes and enhances network capacity across each mode. Multimodalism does not favor one mode of transportation over another. Multimodalism is also a term used in freight movement that refers to the transportation of goods using multiple modes of transportation.

**Complete Streets** focuses on design concepts that result in streets with facilities for all users, including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users and motorists, to the extent appropriate for the land use or the context of the street.

The transportation facility recommendations for the study area are grounded in these two principles.
The SDAT Team recommends tailoring transportation facilities in the study area to the needs of the primary users of each of the major corridors and nodes. This section will review deficiencies of the current transportation network and provide an overview of opportunities for each corridor and node.

Transit Center Node

The SDAT Team recommends creating a transit center within a vibrant, new mixed-use development in the study area north of I-285 along Bouldercrest Road. As detailed elsewhere in this plan, this portion of the study area would be redeveloped into a mixed-use residential and commercial regional destination.

Existing Conditions

North of I-285 Bouldercrest Road is a five lane facility with two travel lanes in each direction and a center shared turn lane. Through north-south traffic is compromised by truck movements into the Pilot Service Station that is located just north of I-285. There are a multitude of intersections and curb cuts within 500 feet of the interstate, creating unsafe vehicular movements and rendering the area unsuitable for pedestrians and bicyclists. The one bus that serves the study area is located in the northern sector of this portion of the study area and serves points north.

Recommendations

Creating a multimodal transportation network will improve transportation flow through this portion of the study area. As redevelopment occurs, anticipated additional traffic volumes generated by the mixed-use development would be accounted for in a redesign of Bouldercrest Road and enhancement of a network of possible private roadways that serve the new development. Ideally, Bouldercrest Road will become a four-lane facility with a center raised median. Access to the new development would be funneled through fewer, higher-occupancy ingress/egress points, allowing for better flow along Bouldercrest Road.

The region’s proposed bus rapid transit (BRT) network includes a terminus stop in this portion of the study area. BRT is a type of public transportation using buses to provide faster, more efficient service than an ordinary bus line. Often this is achieved by making improvements to existing infrastructure, vehicles, and scheduling, including adding a dedicated bus lane on or parallel to a roadway and running shorter headways between buses. The goal of these systems is to approach the service quality of rail transit while still enjoying the cost savings and flexibility of bus transit.

The Team proposes locating the BRT facility as close to I-285 as possible, allowing BRT users to easily access the mixed-use development, but also have easy access from a park and ride lot to I-285, potentially with a dedicated ramp to the interstate. Ideally, local buses would also serve the BRT facility and allow for residents within the study area to access the mixed-use development and the Atlanta region without using an automobile, providing for transportation options for residents of all abilities.
Green Parkway

The SDAT Team recommends enhancing transportation options along Bouldercrest Road from I-285 south to the DeKalb County border by emphasizing the natural beauty of the corridor, as well as creating space for recreation.

Existing Conditions
Between I-285 and River Road, Bouldercrest Road has turn lanes, sidewalks, and partial bicycle facilities. South of River Road and to the DeKalb County boundary, Bouldercrest Road is more rural in scale, with few turn lanes and disconnected sidewalks along newer developments. This leaves residents with few opportunities to move about the neighborhood and creates a haphazard environment for all modes.

Recommendations
There is currently listed a capacity expansion project for Bouldercrest Road in the Regional Transportation Plan prepared by the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC). The SDAT Team recommends building off of the existing project concept and using the right-of-way to create a multimodal green parkway from I-285 to the DeKalb County boundary. The Team recommends creating three lanes – one travel lane in each direction and a center turn lane.

The Team proposes including a sidewalk on the western side of Bouldercrest Road and a multi-use trail on the eastern side of the road. The trail would allow for bicycle and recreational mobility. The Team recommends aligning the trail such that it does not directly parallel Bouldercrest Road, but instead mimics the contours of the landscape to provide a park-like experience, while also increasing multimodal mobility along the corridor. This trail will provide a recreational feature for the community, as well as a path between the community center and the Sugar Creek Gold Club.
Neighborhood Center Node

As detailed elsewhere in this plan, the SDAT Team recommends creating a Neighborhood Center at the intersection of Bouldercrest Road and Cedar Grove Road and reconfiguring the intersection.

Existing Conditions
Currently, the intersection of Bouldercrest Road and Cedar Grove Road forms a triangle, along with Clark Street. This allows for additional alignment options when connecting to the two roads. However, it can also be disorienting for drivers unfamiliar with the area and facilitates higher-speed movements between the two roads.

Recommendations
The Team recommends reconfiguring the current triangular intersection to a traffic circle. This will help encourage safer speeds as vehicles travel through the neighborhood center and provide a center focus point that may define the neighborhood. It is also important to incorporate complete streets methodologies in this node, as it would be ideal for the area to serve all modal users of all ages and abilities.

Neighborhood Street

The current composition of Cedar Grove Road is appropriate for the scale of the neighborhood and intended use. The SDAT Team recommends creating multimodal opportunities along this corridor and employing techniques to limit truck traffic on this corridor.

Existing Conditions
Cedar Grove Road is a neighborhood connector street which provides access for residents from Bouldercrest Street to Moreland Avenue. One issue that was highlighted and witnessed was the usage of this road by truck traffic, perhaps as a cut-through from the industrial uses along Moreland Avenue to the Pilot Service Station and I-285. This creates an unpleasant environment for motorists, residents, and potential bicyclists and pedestrians given the narrow configuration of the road.

Recommendations
The Team recommends creating a neighborhood street environment along Cedar Grove Road. This entails adding sidewalks to both sides of the streets to create space for residents to recreate and access other neighborhood features, including the neighborhood center and community center, and green space and community gardens. The Team also recommends adding bike facilities to the roadway in the form of dedicated bike lanes or sharrows. A sharrow is an arrow-like design painted on a roadway to mark a bicycle route, alerting all users of the transportation facility that bicycles are to be expected on the roadway.
The Team also recommends that the County create a gateway to the community that lets all users of Cedar Grove know that they are entering a neighborhood. The Team recommends that the County install a miniroundabout just east of the I-675 overpass at the edge of the residential land uses. A miniroundabout is a traffic control feature that is very successful in residential neighborhoods as an attractive alternative to speed humps. This treatment, along with significant plantings, a monument or neighborhood sign, will deter truck traffic from using Cedar Grove as a through route. Smaller scale alternatives to a miniroundabout include a neighborhood archway above the roadway that would be comparable to truck heights and more significant, well-anchored signage that notes that Cedar Grove Road is not an established truck route. Several instances of dedicated enforcement of truck routes in that part of the county could also serve as a deterrent to truck usage.

**Regional Boulevard**

The SDAT Team recommends transforming Moreland Avenue into a boulevard that highlights the importance of this corridor to the logistics sector. The Team also recommends transforming the entire portion of the study area west of I-675 into a regional logistics center, a concept more fully described elsewhere in this plan.

**Existing Conditions**

The Moreland Avenue corridor is truck-oriented and comprised of a lot of pavement. Between I-285 and Cedar Grove Road, Moreland Avenue is a four lane separated facility with a grass median. South of Cedar Grove Road, it is a four lane separated facility with a concrete median, multiple turn lanes, and a number of ingress/egress points for properties. Access to the industrial properties relies on driveways from Moreland Avenue, Thurman Drive and Cedar Grove Road. None of the sites relate to one another or the transportation network, leading to a chaotic merging of truck traffic centered on the intersection of Cedar Grove Road and Moreland Avenue.

**Recommendations**

The SDAT Team recommends transforming Moreland Avenue into a regional boulevard that will serve a redeveloped logistics center, described elsewhere in this plan in greater detail. The Team envisions that Moreland Avenue will have a center tree-lined median and will employ complete streets principles. There will be wide, tree-lined sidewalks on both sides of the boulevard. The intersection of Moreland Avenue and Cedar Grove Road will be the focal point of the logistics center and serve to ground the center as a place to be, rather than a place to drive through.

The Team also recommends creating a circulator beltway around the industrial sites. This beltway would be a limited access road that would connect to Moreland Avenue, Cedar Grove Road (west of I-675), and Thurman Drive via interchanges, allowing freight traffic to move freely around the logistics center with limited movements on Moreland Avenue. Through truck traffic would still primarily use Moreland Avenue.

The rail corridor running north-south between Moreland Avenue and I-675 could be used to complement the enhanced road network in the logistics center described above. There is currently one spur off the rail line that serves one of the industrial properties. Usage of this transportation facility should be incorporated into future redevelopment.

**Trail Network**

Several of the concepts above include trail and non-auto facilities. The SDAT Team’s vision for the entire study area, which is described in greater detail elsewhere in this report, provides for a greenway network of trails, open space, community gardens, and recreational opportunities. A trail network will serve to link each of these new green amenities with the neighborhoods, the Neighborhood Center, and existing recreational amenities, including the County Trail Network and Sugar Creek Gold Club. This small-scale network will provide connectivity for recreation and transportation within and outside of the study area. There are three trails included in the County Transportation Plan that will serve the study area.
The Regional Transportation Plan prepared by ARC includes a new interchange at I-675 and Cedar Grove Road as a long range project. In order to improve transportation access to the logistics center and reduce negative impacts on the neighborhoods, the Team recommends moving forward with this interchange.

**Planned Transportation Facilities**
There are a number of multimodal transportation improvements planned for this area. These are included in the DeKalb County Transportation Plan, as well as the Atlanta Regional Council Regional Transportation Plan. It is important to note that many of these projects do not have funding associated with them, which keeps these projects on a longer term planning horizon. Of the projects that do have funding, it is possible that decision-makers may choose to shift funding to future years or to swap it with other projects.

The fact that there are a number of planning projects in the study area means that county and regional leadership has determined a need for improvements, likely based on a number of county and regional goals and initiatives related to creating a sustainable multimodal transportation network. It is important to keep in mind that it is often easier to amend a project in a planning document than it is to add a project to such a document, especially if the project affects the region’s ability to meet air quality conformity criteria, an environmental measure upon which transportation expansion projects are judged.

**Key Learning:** Working within the existing framework to enhance a project that is already planned for is easier than trying to add a significant transportation improvement to the leadership agenda.

**Next Steps**
Many of the concepts outlined above will take years to implement and may be too ambitious for the resources and redevelopment potential of the current economic climate. However, there are many short-term opportunities that may be easily implemented with existing or grant revenues. Additionally, there are possibilities to incorporate some of the long-range visioning into current project concepts or future redevelopment opportunities.

**Short-Term Opportunities**
There are a number of short-term opportunities for the study area that may come to fruition through minimal County resources or through grant opportunities:
- Safe Routes to Schools (SRTS): SRTS is a federal program that provides opportunities for creating safer ways for children to bike and walk to schools. SRTS makes funding available for a wide variety of programs and projects, from building safer street crossings to establishing programs that encourage children and their parents to walk and bicycle safely to school. DeKalb County Transportation staff is available to conduct a site inventory upon the request of a school principal. More information about SRTS may be found here: [http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/saferoutes/](http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/saferoutes/) and at the Georgia Department of Transportation: [http://www.dot.state.ga.us/localgovernment/fundingprograms/SRTS/Pages/default.aspx](http://www.dot.state.ga.us/localgovernment/fundingprograms/SRTS/Pages/default.aspx)
- Recreational Trails Program (RTP): RTP provides funds to states to develop and maintain recreational trails and trail-related facilities for both nonmotorized and motorized recreational trail uses. This program is administered through the Georgia Department of Natural Resources: [http://www.gastateparks.org/item/18195](http://www.gastateparks.org/item/18195)
- Small-scale Public Works Projects: A number of the projects above can be implemented with minimal funding from the County. Examples include:
  - The miniroundabout proposed on Cedar Grove Road just east of I-675. Additional signage could be supplemented until funding is identified for the miniroundabout.
  - Eliminate curb cuts on major roads in congested areas, including Bouldercrest Road just north of I-285 and Moreland Avenue just south of Cedar Grove Road. Reducing the number of curb cuts reduces the number of turning movements that contribute to congestion and an unsafe multimodal environment. Entrances can be diverted to side streets.
Long-Range Possibilities

Many concepts above and planned facilities in the County Transportation Plan and Regional Transportation Plan will require significant funding to be identified and further design and engineering analysis. The suggestions below provide possibilities where the County can find resources:

- The Transportation, Community, and System Preservation (TCSP) Program: The TCSP Program provides funding for a comprehensive initiative including planning grants, implementation grants, and research to investigate and address the relationships among transportation, community, and system preservation plans and practices and identify private sector-based initiatives to improve those relationships. The TCSP Program has existed since 1999 and continues to provide grants on an annual basis.

- Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) Discretionary Grant Program: The TIGER Program funds innovative transportation projects that will create jobs and have a significant impact on the nation, a region or a metropolitan area. The notice of funding availability for the third iteration of the TIGER Program was released on July 1, 2011.

- As noted above, one successful way to effect change is to influence planned projects and priorities. Many of the recommendations above complement some of the planned bicycle, pedestrian, and roadway facilities in the DeKalb County Transportation Plan and ARC’s Regional Transportation Plan.
**INTRODUCTION**

The Bouldercrest community is located in South DeKalb County within the greater Atlanta Metropolitan Statistical Area. The Bouldercrest submarket benefits from a mix of residential and industrial/commercial use and is strategically located near the center of Atlanta and Atlanta’s Hartsfield International Airport. The relative position of the Study Area to central Atlanta and frontage along two regional freeways creates a geographic advantage for additional commercial development including industrial, office, medical, retail and residential.

This market analysis of the Bouldercrest study area examines the market potential for a variety of real estate uses, including industrial, office, and retail development. The Team analyzed current conditions, trends, and projections for the local resident population, employment, and potential development projects. As part of the analysis, the Team also conducted several stakeholder interviews.

This Market Analysis considers the composition of the population and its relating demographics, employment statistics, traffic analysis, zoning, and the stakeholders’ vision, and is intended to shape the strategy for complimentary uses that align with the market and economic reality.

The major impediments to growth within the Bouldercrest Study Area include physical decline of the neighborhood due to a neglect regarding crime prevention, lack of code enforcement, lack of public policy, and private businesses which neglect and defer maintenance. In addition, there are several businesses which have high crime activity and may cater to, or at least neglect to address, illegal activity. These factors tend to exacerbate each other, with each one accretive to the occurrence of each other. There have been many studies which show a high correlation of crime and blight conditions to negative economic conditions.

Although many larger U.S. cities, and especially those areas adjacent to high density commercial areas, are affected by blight, the last real estate cycle led to renewed interest in these areas due to their geographical advantages and also availability of public incentives to offset potential risks. The Bouldercrest study area has many attributes that would be attractive to private investment if the existing blight conditions are mitigated. This could also reduce the amount of public incentives or subsidies required to attract new capital in the market.

The favorable attributes to the Bouldercrest area include its population base, pull factor potential relative to the surrounding geographies, commercial daytime population base, and its regional positioning along dual interstates, which provides commuter demand as well as extended trade area geography.

Key findings from the demographics analysis include the following:

- The population in the Bouldercrest study area increased 4.3% versus DeKalb County at 1.3% and Atlanta MSA of 2.7%.
- The average household size is consistent at 2.7 people per household across all geographies.
- The Bouldercrest study area has an estimated unemployment rate of 7.7% compared to DeKalb County of 9.4% and Atlanta MSA of 8.8%.
- There were approximately 1,404 owner occupied homes versus 815 rental units and 215 vacant units in the Bouldercrest study area which is a factor of owner occupied of .58 compared to a factor of .76 for DeKalb County.
- The 2015 median income is $65,634 in the Bouldercrest study area compared to $73,656 for DeKalb County and $78,229 for the Atlanta MSA.
- The top industries in the Bouldercrest study area are transportation and warehousing (19.2%), general services (15.7%), retail (12.1%), automotive services (9.1%), real estate activities (8.6%), construction (7.1%), waste management activities (7.1%), and wholesale trade (6.6%).
Retail Analysis
The Bouldercrest retail market consists of its residents, workers, commuters and visitors. Retail demand from residents in the Primary Trade Area will consist of daily needs such as grocers, pharmacies, personal services and restaurants. There are currently very few retail goods or services within the Bouldercrest Primary Trade Area. Retail demand from office/industrial workers will be more concentrated towards convenient and casual dining options. Table 1 below denotes the purchasing power of the consumers within the Primary Trade Area for the Bouldercrest study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Segment</th>
<th>Est. Annual Purchasing Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residents in Primary Trade Area</td>
<td>$1,375,718,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Demand to Study Area</td>
<td>$5,502,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Area Commercial Workforce Demand</td>
<td>$6,714,505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary Trade Area Residents
To determine retail demand from residents for the Bouldercrest study area, a geography of the estimated area of population which will contribute to 65-80% of its customer base (Primary Trade Area) was estimated in order to determine population and population characteristics. Catalyst has extensive research in establishing statistical trade areas using customer intercepts and point of origin research. Although no primary trade areas are exactly alike, Catalyst has substantial research using catchment including both time and distance. Using comparative geographies to estimate a reasonable Primary Trade Area for the Bouldercrest study area, a 10 minute drive time was used. This area is generally defined by Atlanta Hartsfield Airport to the west, I-20 to the north, Wesley Chapel road to the east and south near the 675/75 interchange.

*Additional research is recommended to determine the accurate geographies of competitive retail areas in relationship to the Bouldercrest study area to determine the influence of supply against potential demand in the study area.

Within the Primary Trade Area there are currently 183,667 residents, which make up 60,254 households. The Median Income of these families is $51,916 and the per capita income is $20,080.

Comparative Analysis of Peer Trade Areas
In addition to delineating the retail trade area for the Bouldercrest study area, it is also useful to identify the closest competitive areas of concern. These include:

1. Anvil Block – Walmart (Ellenwood Town Center area)
2. Little 5 Points – Bohemian mix of eclectic shops and restaurant
3. East Atlanta
4. Edgewood
By observing how these nodes or districts relate with one another, merchandising strategies can be crafted which target retail categories which are not only complementary and synergistic with the existing merchants within the district, but also with competing neighboring districts which may overlap the Primary Trade Area.

Merchandising strategies developed from a regional perspective, rather than the tradition myopic model, are more likely to promote a sustainable retail mix which most closely aligns with market demands and minimizes cannibalization risk. Identifying a particular district or sub-market’s niche within the broader region is a crucial step in the process of creating the brand and identity of Bouldercrest, thereby increasing its draw and economic viability.

**Population Analysis**

Bouldercrest’s Primary Trade Area is made up of a densely populated region located just southeast of Atlanta. The Primary Trade Area, consisting of 183,667 residents, is sufficient enough to sustain any neighborhood retailer and has exposure to a larger population base to attract regional retail. The population within the Primary Trade Area is projected to grow at an annual rate of 1% over the next 5 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>8 minutes</th>
<th>10 minutes (PTA)</th>
<th>12 minutes</th>
<th>DeKalb County</th>
<th>Atlanta CBSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5,278</td>
<td>74,765</td>
<td>183,667</td>
<td>314,779</td>
<td>761,542</td>
<td>5,611,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>78,709</td>
<td>192,580</td>
<td>332,278</td>
<td>805,650</td>
<td>6,180,206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Income Analysis**

Residents within the Primary Trade Area have strong purchasing power with median and average incomes of $51,916 and $60,560 respectively. These residents have an aggregate disposable income of $2,953,338,570 annually with the bulk of that purchasing power residing with householders between 35 and 64 years of age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>8 minutes</th>
<th>10 minutes</th>
<th>12 minutes</th>
<th>DeKalb County</th>
<th>Atlanta CBSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$56,269</td>
<td>$53,755</td>
<td>$51,916</td>
<td>$51,867</td>
<td>$64,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$65,634</td>
<td>$61,736</td>
<td>$60,167</td>
<td>$60,293</td>
<td>$73,656</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Primary Trade Area**

- **2010 Population**: 183,667
- **Average Household Income**: $60,560
- **Median Household Income**: $51,916
- **Median Age**: 31.9
- **Owner Occupied Housing**: 58.7%
- **Renter Occupied Housing**: 41.3%

- **2010 Households**: 60,254
- **Average Household Size**: 2.99
- **Average HH Disposable Income**: $49,014
- **Median HH Disposable Income**: $40,584
- **Total Disposable Income**: $2,953,338,570
### Average Income Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>8 minutes</th>
<th>10 minutes (PTA)</th>
<th>12 minutes</th>
<th>DeKalb County</th>
<th>Atlanta CBSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$71,701</td>
<td>$62,228</td>
<td>$60,560</td>
<td>$60,787</td>
<td>$80,799</td>
<td>$85,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$84,574</td>
<td>$70,913</td>
<td>$69,027</td>
<td>$69,630</td>
<td>$92,541</td>
<td>$98,557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Demand Characteristics

#### SPI

The Spending Potential Index (SPI) is household-based, and represents the amount spent for a product or service relative to a national average of 100. (ESRI) The Spending Potential Index table shows the average amount spent on each category per resident in the Primary Trade Area, the total estimated spending per category in the trade area and SPI. Total Expenditures spent by the average household in the Primary Trade Area is consistent with that of the national average with an SPI of 100. SPIs of most other categories in the Primary Trade Area closely mirror the national average with most falling between 90 and 110.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spending Potential Index</th>
<th>Average Amount Spent</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>$58,112.87</td>
<td>$3,501,533,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Food</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$6,900.98</td>
<td>$415,811,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food at Home</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>$3,993.30</td>
<td>$240,612,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Away from Home</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$2,907.68</td>
<td>$175,199,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcoholic Beverages</strong></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>$526.85</td>
<td>$31,744,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Housing</strong></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$17,928.00</td>
<td>$1,080,233,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$13,835.13</td>
<td>$833,612,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utilities, Fuel and Public Services</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$4,092.87</td>
<td>$246,611,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household Operations</strong></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>$1,332.01</td>
<td>$80,259,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeping Supplies</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>$624.76</td>
<td>$37,644,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Furnishings and Equipment</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>$1,543.01</td>
<td>$92,972,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apparel and Services</strong></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>$1,497.98</td>
<td>$90,259,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>$8,892.08</td>
<td>$535,783,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>$1,559.82</td>
<td>$93,985,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>$3,197.84</td>
<td>$192,682,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment and Recreation</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>$2,807.16</td>
<td>$169,142,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal Care Products &amp; Services</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$626.76</td>
<td>$37,764,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$1,093.56</td>
<td>$65,891,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking Products</strong></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>$402.89</td>
<td>$24,275,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>$981.63</td>
<td>$59,147,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support Payments/Cash Contributions/Gifts in Kind</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>$2,131.20</td>
<td>$128,413,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life/Other Insurance</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>$349.41</td>
<td>$21,053,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pensions and Social Security</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>$5,716.92</td>
<td>$344,467,427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ESRI
While population density and income convey the purchasing power of the population within a specified region, MPI (Market Potential Index) is useful for consumer preferences specific to the population within the Primary Trade Area. An MPI measures the relative likelihood of the adults/HHs in the specified trade area to exhibit certain consumer behavior or purchasing patterns compared to the U.S. An MPI of 100 represents the U.S. average. (Source: ESRI)

Similarly to SPI, the purchasing patterns of residents within Bouldercrest’s Primary Trade Area are shown in the accompanying table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product/Consumer Behavior</th>
<th>Expected Number of Adults/HHs</th>
<th>Percent of Adults/HHs</th>
<th>MPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apparel (Adults)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought any men’s apparel in last 12 months</td>
<td>62,456</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought any women’s apparel in last 12 months</td>
<td>57,391</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought apparel for child &lt;13 in last 6 months</td>
<td>43,544</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought any shoes in last 12 months</td>
<td>66,686</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought any costume jewelry in last 12 months</td>
<td>29,651</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought any fine jewelry in last 12 months</td>
<td>34,796</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought a watch in last 12 months</td>
<td>32,218</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Automobiles (Households)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH owns/leases any vehicle</td>
<td>49,298</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH bought new vehicle in last 12 months</td>
<td>4,004</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Automotive Aftermarket (Adults)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought gasoline in last 6 months</td>
<td>109,373</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought/changed motor oil in last 12 months</td>
<td>67,149</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had tune-up in last 12 months</td>
<td>39,619</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beverages (Adults)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drank bottled water/seltzer in last 6 months</td>
<td>85,810</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drank regular cola in last 6 months</td>
<td>78,296</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drank beer/ale in last 6 months</td>
<td>55,144</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cameras &amp; Film (Adults)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought any camera in last 12 months</td>
<td>20,223</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought film in last 12 months</td>
<td>29,363</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought digital camera in last 12 months</td>
<td>8,412</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought memory card for camera in last 12 months</td>
<td>9,793</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell Phones/PDAs &amp; Service (Adults)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought cell/mobile phone/PDA in last 12 months</td>
<td>37,486</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg monthly cell/mobile phone/PDA bill: $1-49</td>
<td>29,786</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg monthly cell/mobile phone/PDA bill: $50-99</td>
<td>43,784</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg monthly cell/mobile phone/PDA bill: $100+</td>
<td>21,330</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computers (Households)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH owns a personal computer</td>
<td>39,242</td>
<td>65.1%</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH spent &lt;$500 on home PC</td>
<td>5,794</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH spent $500-$999 on home PC</td>
<td>10,054</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH spent $1000-$1499 on home PC</td>
<td>7,384</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH spent $1500-$1999 on home PC</td>
<td>4,247</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent $2000+ on home PC</td>
<td>3,718</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Convenience Stores (Adults)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopped at convenience store in last 6 months</td>
<td>79,498</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought cigarettes at convenience store in last 30 days</td>
<td>22,424</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought gas at convenience store in last 30 days</td>
<td>44,299</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent at convenience store in last 30 days: &lt;$20</td>
<td>11,308</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent at convenience store in last 30 days: $20-39</td>
<td>13,532</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent at convenience store in last 30 days: $40+</td>
<td>47,421</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Entertainment (Adults)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count (Last 6/12 Months)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attended movies in last 6 months</td>
<td>78,038</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Went to live theater in last 12 months</td>
<td>14,363</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Went to a bar/night club in last 12 months</td>
<td>21,779</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dined out in last 12 months</td>
<td>50,656</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambled at a casino in last 12 months</td>
<td>20,264</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited a theme park in last 12 months</td>
<td>29,883</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVDs rented in last 30 days: 1</td>
<td>3,291</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVDs rented in last 30 days: 2</td>
<td>5,670</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVDs rented in last 30 days: 3</td>
<td>4,427</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVDs rented in last 30 days: 4</td>
<td>5,239</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVDs rented in last 30 days: 5+</td>
<td>16,588</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVDs purchased in last 30 days: 1</td>
<td>6,417</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVDs purchased in last 30 days: 2</td>
<td>6,984</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVDs purchased in last 30 days: 3-4</td>
<td>7,853</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVDs purchased in last 30 days: 5+</td>
<td>9,805</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on toys/games in last 12 months: &lt;$50</td>
<td>8,611</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on toys/games in last 12 months: $50-$99</td>
<td>3,510</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on toys/games in last 12 months: $100-$199</td>
<td>8,993</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on toys/games in last 12 months: $200-$499</td>
<td>13,175</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on toys/games in last 12 months: $500+</td>
<td>6,491</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Financial (Adults)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count (Last 12 Months)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have home mortgage (1st)</td>
<td>17,073</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used ATM/cash machine in last 12 months</td>
<td>61,884</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own any stock</td>
<td>8,140</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own U.S. savings bond</td>
<td>6,630</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own shares in mutual fund (stock)</td>
<td>9,913</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own shares in mutual fund (bonds)</td>
<td>5,790</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used full service brokerage firm in last 12 months</td>
<td>6,218</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used discount brokerage firm in last 12 months</td>
<td>2,724</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have 401K retirement savings</td>
<td>18,427</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own any credit/debit card (in own name)</td>
<td>85,764</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg monthly credit card expenditures: &lt;$111</td>
<td>17,420</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg monthly credit card expenditures: $111-225</td>
<td>11,647</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg monthly credit card expenditures: $226-450</td>
<td>9,213</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg monthly credit card expenditures: $451-700</td>
<td>8,135</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg monthly credit card expenditures: $701+</td>
<td>11,211</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Grocery (Adults)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used beef (fresh/frozen) in last 6 months</td>
<td>90,524</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used bread in last 6 months</td>
<td>128,720</td>
<td>96.9%</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used chicken/turkey (fresh or frozen) in last 6 months</td>
<td>99,979</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used fish/seafood (fresh or frozen) in last 6 months</td>
<td>69,567</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used fresh fruit/vegetables in last 6 months</td>
<td>111,942</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used fresh milk in last 6 months</td>
<td>120,006</td>
<td>90.4%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Health (Adults)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise at home 2+ times per week</td>
<td>34,583</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise at club 2+ times per week</td>
<td>12,057</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited a doctor in last 12 months</td>
<td>99,471</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used vitamin/dietary supplement in last 6 months</td>
<td>56,314</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Home (Households)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any home improvement in last 12 months</td>
<td>15,786</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used housekeeper/maid/professional cleaning service in last 12 months</td>
<td>7,936</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased any HH furnishing in last 12 months</td>
<td>5,696</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased bedding/bath goods in last 12 months</td>
<td>33,347</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased cooking/serving product in last 12 months</td>
<td>14,918</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bought any kitchen appliance in last 12 months</td>
<td>9,846</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Insurance (Adults)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insurance</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Currently carry any life insurance</td>
<td>61,377</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have medical/hospital/accident insurance</td>
<td>87,621</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry homeowner insurance</td>
<td>59,900</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry renter insurance</td>
<td>9,056</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have auto/other vehicle insurance</td>
<td>103,133</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pets (Households)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pet Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HH owns any pet</td>
<td>23,443</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH owns any cat</td>
<td>10,776</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH owns any dog</td>
<td>16,699</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reading Materials (Adults)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bought book in last 12 months</td>
<td>61,738</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read any daily newspaper</td>
<td>53,063</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy magazine reader</td>
<td>32,696</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Restaurants (Adults)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Went to family restaurant/steak house in last 6 mo</td>
<td>94,936</td>
<td>71.5%</td>
<td>ESRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Went to family restaurant/steak house last mo: &lt;2 times</td>
<td>33,164</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Went to family restaurant/steak house last mo: 2-4 times</td>
<td>34,123</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Went to family restaurant/steak house last mo: 5+ times</td>
<td>27,664</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Went to fast food/drive-in restaurant in last 6 mo</td>
<td>119,026</td>
<td>89.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Went to fast food/drive-in restaurant &lt;5 times/mo</td>
<td>37,481</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Went to fast food/drive-in 5-12 times/mo</td>
<td>39,314</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Went to fast food/drive-in restaurant 13+ times/mo</td>
<td>42,245</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast food/drive-in last 6 mo: eat in</td>
<td>42,624</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast food/drive-in last 6 mo: home delivery</td>
<td>16,354</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast food/drive-in last 6 mo: take-out/drive-thru</td>
<td>71,431</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast food/drive-in last 6 mo: take-out/walk-in</td>
<td>35,928</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Telephones & Service (Households)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HH owns in-home cordless telephone</td>
<td>35,135</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>ESRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH average monthly long distance phone bill: &lt;$16</td>
<td>14,014</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH average monthly long distance phone bill: $16-25</td>
<td>5,901</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH average monthly long distance phone bill: $26-59</td>
<td>4,864</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH average monthly long distance phone bill: $60+</td>
<td>2,684</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Television & Sound Equipment (Households)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HH owns 1 TV</td>
<td>10,731</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>ESRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH owns 2 TVs</td>
<td>14,045</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH owns 3 TVs</td>
<td>14,159</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH owns 4+ TVs</td>
<td>14,063</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH subscribes to cable TV</td>
<td>34,560</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH watched 15+ hours of cable TV last week</td>
<td>36,392</td>
<td>60.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased audio equipment in last 12 months</td>
<td>5,573</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased CD player in last 12 months</td>
<td>3,867</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased DVD player in last 12 months</td>
<td>7,163</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased MP3 player in last 12 months</td>
<td>4,718</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased video game system in last 12 months</td>
<td>5,025</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Travel (Adults)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic travel in last 12 months</td>
<td>58,242</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>ESRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Took 3+ domestic trips in last 12 months</td>
<td>20,889</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on domestic vacations last 12 mo: &lt;$1000</td>
<td>15,824</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on domestic vacations last 12 mo: $1000-1499</td>
<td>7,155</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on domestic vacations last 12 mo: $1500-1999</td>
<td>4,628</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on domestic vacations last 12 mo: $2000-2999</td>
<td>4,035</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on domestic vacations: $3000+</td>
<td>4,950</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign travel in last 3 years</td>
<td>25,131</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Took 3+ foreign trips by plane in last 3 years</td>
<td>4,014</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on foreign vacations last 12 mo: &lt;$1000</td>
<td>5,696</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on foreign vacations last 12 mo: $1000-2999</td>
<td>4,595</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent on foreign vacations: $3000+</td>
<td>3,942</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stayed 1+ nights at hotel/motel in last 12 months</td>
<td>45,618</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bouldercrest Workers (Firmographics)

Bouldercrest’s workforce is also a significant source of demand for retail goods and services and dining establishments. A 2004 study conducted by the International Council of Shopping Centers (ICSC) titled Office Worker Retail Spending Patterns yielded the following key findings:

- Lunch has become less social in nature and more convenience-driven for office workers, with the proverbial “lunch hour” shrinking by 10 minutes
- Consumers working in downtown areas with limited retail are more likely to drive to lunch than those who work in downtown ample retail areas, which increases their total time away from the office during their lunch break – 44 minutes on average compared to 40 minutes among those working in downtown ample areas.
- 84% to 85% of downtown workers purchase lunch outside the office ($26 to $28/weekly)
- 58% to 61% shop before, during and/or after work ($14 to $15 on variety, drug, convenience items/weekly)
- 35% to 36% socialize after work ($13 to $15 on dinner & drinks/weekly)

Bouldercrest’s study area has an estimated workforce of approximately 225 businesses and 21,400 workers. This was determined using findings from the ICSC Office Worker Retail Spending Patterns report and a range of estimated percentages of which those expenditures could be captured in Bouldercrest.

Commuter Demand

Commuter:
153,540 on 285
23,760 Mooreland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADV</th>
<th>Average Trips per week</th>
<th>Site capture percentage</th>
<th>Annual Trips</th>
<th>Average amount spent</th>
<th>Estimated Annual Expenditure</th>
<th>Estimated square feet of demand generated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>248,020</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>775,063</td>
<td>$7.10</td>
<td>$5,502,944</td>
<td>13,757</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supply/Demand Analysis

ESRI: Supply (retail sales) estimates sales to consumers by establishments. Sales to businesses are excluded. Demand (retail potential) estimates the expected amount spent by consumers at retail establishments. Supply and demand estimates are in current dollars. The Leakage/Surplus Factor presents a snapshot of retail opportunity. This is a measure of the relationship between supply and demand that ranges from +100 (total leakage) to -100 (total surplus). A positive value represents ‘leakage’ of retail opportunity outside the trade area. A negative value represents a surplus of retail sales, a market where customers are drawn in from outside the trade area. The Retail Gap represents the difference between Retail Potential and Retail Sales. ESRI uses the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) to classify businesses by their primary type of economic activity. Retail establishments are classified into 27 industry groups in the Retail Trade sector, as well as four industry groups within the Food Services & Drinking Establishments subsector.

The leakage analysis is based on the geography established by the Primary Trade Area. The most noteworthy categories showing leakage are:

Other General Merchandise Stores (NAICS 4529) $56,036,908
Department Stores (NAICS 4521) $39,440,944
Limited-Service Eating Places (NAICS 7222) $37,223,474
Electronics & Appliance Stores (NAICS 443/NAICS 4431) $26,031,518
Full-Service Restaurants (NAICS 7221) $24,968,300
Health and Personal Care Stores (NAICS 446/4461) $24,505,319
Retail Summary:

Residential
- 192,000 Population
- 63,273 Households
- $60,167 (2015)
- $21,602/Yr HH Expenditures
Estimate: 225,000 – 400,000 sf demand

Firmographic
21,400 worker (15,000 to 30,000 SF demand)

Commuter
248k traffic (15,000 – 20,000 SF)

Summary of Current Conditions
Retail and Industrial space is expected to represent the majority of new development that will occur in the Bouldercrest study area on the immediate horizon. The office sector is weak but future recovery could lead to further development in appropriate quantities. Key findings from a review of market conditions include the following:

- Industrial – Strongest sector. Capture will be commensurate with improvements within Study Area.
- Retail – Virtually no supply with demand in excess of 350,000 square feet inclusive of residential, firmographic and commuter inputs.
- Residential – Significant foreclosures and low job growth will drive short term demand to rental units. Demand for quality multifamily (150-225 units) exists in the market area.
- Office – Challenged sector. Vacancy is high, compressing rents. The short term plan is recommended to be ‘hold and monitor’. The corridor offers strategic positioning for long-term planning.

Real Estate Conditions:

Residential
- Single Family in the Bouldercrest study area has experienced a modest growth of 1.3 %
- Multi - family trends average 140 unit, of which 80% are between 2-4 stories
- The project finance model indicates using 50% conventional financing and 50% using tax credits.
- Anticipate modest residential growth with high credit requirements. The rental market in the immediate area should support 2-3 multi-family projects, not to exceed 300 total units.

Office
- The Bouldercrest office market inventory in 3Q 2011 was 2,368,172 square feet.
- Existing inventory has increased from 2,292,604 square feet of Rentable Building Area in 4Q 2008 to 2,368,172 in 3Q 2011.
- Current vacancy rate in the Bouldercrest market is 11.8%.
- Average net absorption in the Bouldercrest office Market from Q4 2008 to 3Q 2011 was 847 square feet per quarter.
- Average rental rate is $15.65 up from $14.82 in 4Q 2008.
- Potential available space delivery is 34,723 square feet in 1-3 months and an additional 40,185 square feet will be delivered at an undecided date.

Industrial
- The Bouldercrest industrial market inventory in 3Q 2011 was 22,226,024 square feet.
- Since 4Q 2008, the Bouldercrest market’s occupied space has declined 851,061 square feet. 4Q 2008 had 26,095,888 square feet of occupied space and in the current quarter has 25,378,355 square feet.
- Existing inventory has also declined since 4Q 2008 with 31,855,016 square feet of Rentable Building Area to 29,226,024 square feet in the current quarter (3Q 2011).
- Average net absorption in the Bouldercrest industrial market from 4Q 2008 to 3Q 2011 was -60,273 square feet per quarter.
- Vacancy in the Bouldercrest industrial market is 13.2%.
- Rental rates are at $2.72 in 3Q 2011 down from $3.19 in 4Q 2008.
- The Bouldercrest market has a strong workforce, existing infrastructure for industrial, close access to Atlanta’s Hartsfield Airport, CSX, and has immediate access to Interstate 285 and 675.
- Potential available space delivery is 3,425,169 square feet this quarter, 1,006,999 square feet in 1-3 months, 246,000 square feet in 4-6 months, and 1,024,188 square feet to be delivered at an undecided date.
Retail

- There are 8,249,787 square feet of retail space within 5 miles of the Bouldercrest study area.
- As of 3Q 2011, the average vacancy is 10.6%.
- Total occupied space has declined from 7,779,677 square feet in 4Q 2008 to 7,372,595 square feet in 3Q 2011.
- Average net absorption in the Bouldercrest retail market from 4Q 2008 to 3Q 2011 was -31,899 square feet.
- Rental rates have declined from $12.13 in 4Q 2008 to $8.54 in 3Q 2011.
- Existing inventory has increased from 8,394,347 square feet of Rentable Building Area in 4Q 2008 to 8,249,787 square feet in 3Q 2011.
- Potential available space delivery is 877,192 square feet in the current quarter, 108,234 square feet in 1-3 months, and 133,387 square feet to be delivered at an undecided date.
- The Primary Trade Area has a purchasing power $1.3 B with a $51,000 median household income
- The immediate Bouldercrest area is void of almost all services and grocery excluding gas/convenience
- Active when unemployment at 8% and 10% retail vacancy
Neighborhoods
Sustainable, Equitable and Empowered:
The Formula for Healthy, Informed, and Active Communities

Sustainable Communities
Triple bottom line efforts address not only the issues of economic and environmental sustainability but also social issues. At the end of the day, we don’t plan projects for money or the environment - the end product serves people. If we build without listening to and addressing the needs of our communities there is a possibility of losing money, time, energy and potentially precious natural resources. Thoughtful processes to address the 3 legs of the stool can provide firm foundations and well-supported and utilized products. It can provide guidance to create healthy, accessible and livable neighborhoods. Working within a three-pronged approach does initially mean starting off with retraining and a new influx of partners, but the rewards are worth it.

The team’s meetings with stakeholders revealed that there was some sense by citizens that they do not feel heard by the County. During the public meeting, the Team heard from a woman who was thumbing through the report from the last community effort two years prior. She commented that she wondered if this process was going to do any more than the process that had developed the document, which she believed had apparently meant nothing.

After hearing from other community members, the Team concluded that there was a sense of distrust of government and a sense of hopelessness around not feeling heard. Residents and stakeholders complained that, “Everything happens around us but not for us.” Team members also experienced the unspoken language of eye rolling and other facial expressions that told the story. One participant captured the challenge facing the area: “We operate as subdivisions not neighborhoods.” The team believes that this statement is both part of the problem and the solution.

The neighborhoods in this project area are not connected. A lack of connectivity results in 1.) Disconnect in the placement of industrial and residential areas and a lack of connections between neighbors and 2.) The ability for the residents of DeKalb County to build a cohesive organizing base to operate from a place of power, to have a unified voice and place of belonging and ownership over the neighborhood in which they reside, is constrained.

Due to these constraints, residents of the area do not enjoy what could be their share of the prosperity being created in their backyards from recent economic opportunities like the new energy being created by the solar landfill and other new innovations. However, they most definitely experience the burdens of toxic air, land and crime. These concerns represent the priorities the team heard from its meeting with stakeholders.

Community Issues
- Truck issues
- Prostitution
- High crime
- Pollution
- Cancer concerns
- Asthma and other respiratory issues
- Lack of access to fresh fruit and vegetables
- Move from subdivisions to neighborhoods
- Need for good working partnerships

Equity
If it’s not equitable it is not sustainable!
Planning and building projects require that a project is not only being designed to serve the current population, but also the growth and diversification of the community as demographics change with an influx of new populations, seniors and children. Prevailing best practices indicate that plans made with an equity lens incorporated in the original vision have better outcomes and results. Some of the questions we must ask ourselves include:
• As economic change occurs in our cities and counties are we addressing the needs of the population or have plans become outdated?
• As we experience increased issues regarding health and livability, are we considering the important components of a good quality of life like a great education, living wage jobs and complete neighborhoods?
• Are investments being made first in the historically underserved areas? failing or in poor condition in terms of their regular maintenance. This is substantially higher than other areas of the City.

For example, worksheets created for more equitable public involvement ask questions such as the following:

1. What is your goal of reaching this community?
2. How do you plan to connect with this community?
3. What type of relationship does your bureau currently have with this community and its leaders?
4. How can you build a relationship with this community? Who do you know that can help you build a relationship and engage with this community?
5. How can you learn what priorities and issues are important to this community?
6. How do the priorities of this community match the priorities of your project? How will you draw a connection between what is important to this community and the elements of your project?
7. How does your project help develop leadership or capacity for this community? How did you leave the community?
8. How do you plan to maintain a relationship with the community during and after your project?
9. What are the major challenges and barriers you expect while implementing this plan? How do you plan to overcome these barriers?

(Williams and Ahmed-Shafi 2010)

Empowerment of the Neighborhood system
An empowered neighborhood system can make a world of difference in how work in the county can be shared. It can alleviate mistrust of Government. It can be instrumental in competition for funding; many funders prefer good working partnerships with active communities.
• Are the people most directly affected by the decision making process not only sitting at the table but fully informed and empowered to participate in the process from the very beginning?
• Are the meetings times set to allow for maximum participation of community members who work during the day?
• Are the meetings located in the project influence area, i.e. do you go out into the neighborhoods or is everything downtown?
• Are traditionally underserved communities invited to the table?
• Do you provide documents in any other languages?
• Is there a need for childcare?
• Are you having meetings at mealtime?

• Could food be provided?
• Are historically underserved communities given the time and energy needed to gain the knowledge necessary to make informed decisions?

Recommendations
Good Neighbor Agreements (GNA) as well as Community Benefit Agreements (CBA) between stakeholders have proven to be a very effective way of achieving a new feeling of belonging and ownership in a community for all concerned. When
the people living, working and playing in a community together are able to build a sense of pride they are very well situated to drive those negative elements away from the community and foster more positive attractions in their area.

CPTED- Crime Prevention through Environmental Design
Crime Prevention through Environmental Design is a program that can teach people how to design areas for safety. Removing areas that are low visibly zones can diminish the ability for crime to happen in unseen areas. This is a great program and well utilized through the office of Neighborhood Involvement Crime Prevention Specialists. – Example: http://www.portlandonline.com/oni/

EJ view
EJ View is a relatively new tool that allows the user to plug in an address or area and be able to view a myriad of information about a particular area including geographic, demographic and pollution sites in your community. It is a great resource in being able to get information during the planning process. (EJ view- http://epamap14.epa.gov/ejmap/entry.html)

Gardens, Greenways and Pocket Parks
The Team heard a lot of interest in community gardens during the process, including container gardens as well as pocket parks. This area has some beautiful large parks which are currently underutilized, mostly because the only access is by car. Connecting the community through greenways, trails, smart transit and bikes will allow visitors many more options to access these already gorgeous areas. Because the subdivisions seem to have a lot of land around them the team also suggests local pocket parts as a way to unite the residents and encourage them to become neighbors.

Brownfields Assessment and Mapping
The team was amazed that this area has not been mapped out for Brownfields. Without this mapping it would be difficult to receive funding from the Federal government’s many ARRA and other Brownfields grant programs. You must first determine the prevailing need as a prerequisite for funding, and this area should really be receiving some of the following funding:

- EJ grants including small grants and Collaborative Problem Solving (CPS) http://www.epa.gov/oecaerth/environmentaljustice/grants/index.html

Public Health
Environmental justice and public health go hand in hand. In fact, many public health issues are due to decades of environmental injustice! These two issues should always be considered together. Dr. Robert Bullard is the father of the Environmental Justice movement and is a Professor at Clark University in Atlanta where he runs the EJ Resource Center. It would be great for the community to invite him over to speak. One of the area stakeholders is a Pastor who approached the team and said he had never heard of Environmental Justice before and he was very interested. He could possibly open his church to host something. The team heard a strong sense of concern when health issues were mentioned to County officials and at one point were told that we would need to be careful in how health concerns were presented because of the potential response. In an area that is this car- and truck-dependent and populated, it would be folly to ignore what is truly in your air.
At the end of the day, if people choose to not change the way they do business—
to not invest in transit and not look at and do something about the high rates of respiratory disease and cancer in this county, they will continue to be unhealthy. You cannot receive money from the federal government to encourage people to walk on dangerous streets with no sidewalks in a hot climate laced with air toxics and expect them to be healthy - they will not be. The asthma rate is directly connected to the Air Quality Index and if you do not do the most for those sensitive populations like elders and children you will continue the vicious cycle of environmental injustice.

If instead of shying away from the information, you embrace it, you have a chance to change it. Health Education is key, knowledge is still power and sharing knowledge is justice.


Historically, the best stewards of our earth, whether they are urban, suburban or rural - never take more than they need and they always give back economically, environmentally and socially.

This is to say if it’s not equitable – it’s not sustainable!
**Brownfields & Landfills**

**Brownfields** are defined as real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant. Southwest DeKalb County and the study area are home to several large landfills and a number of commercial and industrial users of hazardous substances and fuels. Such land uses can present challenges to redevelopment—the classic definition of a “Brownfields” site. In addition, rocks and soils in the study area may contain naturally-occurring asbestos. If disturbed during construction or otherwise, these minerals can present health hazards. Thus natural environmental conditions present a potential complication for real estate development in the area.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency administers grant programs for assessing and remediation of Brownfields. The unusual combination of naturally-occurring asbestos, large landfills, and other industrial uses in the study area may provide a competitive advantage for seeking such grant funds.

**Landfill Sites**

Figure 1 shows the locations of four landfills located in or near the study area. Three of the four landfills are large municipal solid waste landfills, and the fourth is a large landfill for construction and demolition debris. Scores of landfills in the United States have been redeveloped successfully for industrial, commercial, retail, residential and recreational land uses. Figure 3 is a collection of photographs taken from landfill redevelopment projects in the Eastern United States.

One of the most famous landfill redevelopment projects is Mount Trashmore Park in Virginia Beach, Virginia, where stairs help visit the top of the closed landfill mound to observe panoramic views. Lakes on the property support fishing and paddle boating, and site features such as a large children’s play area and professional skateboard ramp help attract more than one million visitors per year. Mount Trashmore is highly visible as tourists drive into Virginia Beach along its only interstate highway—not unlike the setting of Hickory Ridge Landfill near the intersection of two interstate highways in Southwest DeKalb County.

Challenges for landfill redevelopment include proper management and control of potentially explosive landfill gas (LFG), foundation design to accommodate landfill settlement over time, maintenance of environmental controls such as leachate and stormwater collection, and management of wastes encountered during excavations. Technical approaches to address each of these challenges depend upon the characteristics (age, depth, type of waste disposed, etc.) of each landfill.
Table 1. Landfills In and Near Study Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landfill Name</th>
<th>Tons of Waste in Place</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hickory Ridge</td>
<td>6 million</td>
<td>Landfill is no longer receiving waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unique geomembrane cap including 1 megawatt (MW) flexible solar panels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 2500 cubic feet per minute (cfm) of LFG planned to be recovered for direct use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Oak</td>
<td>5.3 million</td>
<td>Landfill is no longer receiving waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6500 cfm of LFG recovered for direct use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminole Road</td>
<td>10 million</td>
<td>Landfill will continue to receive waste for ~ 60 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LFG used to generate 3.2 MW electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plans underway to treat LFG for use in compressed natural gas (CNG) fueling station for vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donzi Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>Concept plan for combination of mixed commercial and residential use on one part of the site, and public open land uses on another part of the site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Green Energy Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landfill Use</th>
<th>MTCO2e/yr</th>
<th>Passenger Vehicles</th>
<th>Acres of Pine Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hickory Ridge Solar (1MW)</td>
<td>6,040</td>
<td>1,184</td>
<td>1,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Use LFG (2500 cfm)</td>
<td>295,900</td>
<td>56,577</td>
<td>63,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Oak Direct Use LFG (6480 cfm)</td>
<td>767,000</td>
<td>146,649</td>
<td>163,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminole Road Electricity (3.2 MW)</td>
<td>135,700</td>
<td>25,948</td>
<td>28,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,204,640</td>
<td>230,358</td>
<td>256,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Landfill Sites**

Table 1 lists the four landfills and summarizes for each the current status and plans to use LFG generated by the landfill and/or any plans for redevelopment. LFG consists of about half methane and half carbon dioxide, and it is formed as organic waste materials decompose in a landfill. Methane is the primary ingredient of natural gas, and LFG thus can be a significant source of energy. In addition, methane is considered a potent greenhouse gas, with each pound of methane having more than 20 times the global warming potential as a pound of carbon dioxide. By using LFG instead of other sources of energy, a user is reducing greenhouse gas emissions directly (by burning the LFG) and indirectly (by not using as much fossil fuel from other sources).

Recovery and use of LFG is one of the most reliable and proven types of “green” (environment-friendly) energy. By virtue of the projects in place or planned for the four landfills, Southwest DeKalb County is or soon will be one of the largest generators of green energy in the country. As shown in Table 2, the green energy benefits for the LFG projects underway or planned are substantial. The column “MTCO2e/yr” refers to the number of metric tons of “carbon dioxide” equivalent greenhouse gas emissions saved each year by virtue of the projects shown. The last two columns of the table show the equivalent number of passenger vehicles and acres of pine forest that it would take to account for the greenhouse gas savings.

The landfills in and around the study area are now or will soon be examples of the kind of renewable energy projects that are attractive to many, and could serve as a signature identity for the community. The landfills also are highly visible (see Figure 2), and if given a suitable nickname and brand (e.g., Gaffney Peach), could serve to reinforce the green energy character of the region.
Figure 2. Strong Visual Identity in Search of a Nickname

Figure 3. Examples of Landfill Redevelopment
Other Brownfields and Naturally-Occurring Asbestos

The many trucking terminals and support facilities in the study area store motor fuels and other hazardous substances, and it is likely that these materials have been released to the environment. According to the Georgia Environmental Protection Division, there are about 40 facilities in the study area with underground fuel tanks. About half of these have reported releases (some more than once), and there are seven facilities with either active remediation underway or planned.

There appears to be only one hazardous waste corrective action that has been required in the study area. The Arch Wood Protection facility on Koppers Road had a release of wood preserving chemicals, and has been undergoing remediation for several years.

There may be other facilities where environmental challenges could affect redevelopment potential in the community. Residents reported evidence of illegal (“midnight”) dumping in vacant or abandoned lots, and at least one junk yard has been noted along the Moreland Avenue corridor.

Rocks and soils in the study area may contain naturally-occurring asbestos. If naturally-occurring asbestos is disturbed, asbestos fibers can be released to the air and create a hazard to nearby workers and others. Some jurisdictions have published guidance for those who may come in contact with known asbestos formations, and others require land developers to take precautions to control asbestos releases during construction.

Note
Occurrence: indicates that asbestos was reported at this site. The occurrence category includes (1) sites where asbestos-bearing rock is described in a geologic map or report; (2) asbestos noted as an accessory mineral or vein deposit within another type of mineral deposit.

Past Prospect: indicates that the asbestos deposit was prospected (evaluated) for possible commercial use, typically by trenching and/or drilling, but the deposit was not further developed.

In Georgia, naturally occurring asbestos has principally been found in ultramafic rocks.

Source: ATSDR, “Naturally Occurring Asbestos and North Georgia Counties Among the 100 Fastest Growing U.S. Counties” (http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/noa/docs/gamap.pdf)

Key:
- Occurrence (definitions are below)
- Past producer
- Past prospect
- Fastest growing counties in north Georgia (those with the greatest percent change in the number of housing units, July 2002 to July 2003)
- Interstate highways
- Ultramafic Rocks
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